

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

CHARLES E. KING,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 4:20CV01028 JAR
	)	
	)	
ANDREW SAUL,	)	
Commissioner of Social Security,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This case is before the Court on Commissioner Andrew Saul’s (“the Commissioner’s”) Motion to Reverse and Remand the case to the Commissioner for further administrative action pursuant to sentence four of section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (Doc. No. 19). Plaintiff has no objection to remand. (Doc. No. 20).

On August 6, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Complaint seeking review of the Commissioner’s decision that he was not under a disability within the meaning of the Social Security Act. (Doc. No. 1). The Commissioner filed his answer and the transcript of the administrative proceedings on December 7, 2020. (Doc. Nos. 12, 13). Plaintiff filed a brief in support of the complaint on January 5, 2021. (Doc. No. 14).

On March 12, 2021, the Commissioner filed the instant motion to reverse and remand the case to the Commissioner for further action under sentence four of section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, which permits the Court “to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing.” 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The Commissioner represents in his

motion that upon review of the record, agency counsel determined that remand was necessary for further evaluation of Plaintiff's claim. The Commissioner states that "[o]n remand, the agency will further evaluate whether Plaintiff can perform any jobs that exist in significant numbers in the national economy." (Doc. No. 19 at 1).

Upon review of Plaintiff's brief in support of the complaint, the ALJ's decision, and the Commissioner's motion, the Court agrees with the parties that this case should be reversed and remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).


Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Commissioner's Motion to Reverse and Remand [19] is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that this action is **REVERSED AND REMANDED** under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for reconsideration and further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

A separate Judgement will accompany this Order.

Dated this 15th day of March, 2021.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**JOHN A. ROSS**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**